

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/533,344	11/21/2005	Thomas Bernhard Pabst	003D.0059.U1(US)	7511		
29683	7590 05/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP			VU, HI	VU, HIEN D		
4 RESEARCH		ADTIBUT	DA DED MUMBED			
SHELTON, (CT 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2833			

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/533,34	4	PABST, THOMAS BERNHARD				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Hien D. Vu		2833	<u> </u>			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no even n. eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this condition (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
		2b) This action is non-final.						
/	Since this application is in condition for alk			secution as to the	e merits is			
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applica	ition.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	B) Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner						
•			Ohiected to by the F	- - - - - -				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
,	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	2.44						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
, —	J	eign priority und	ier 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(u) or (i).				
a)ı	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
occ the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Amak	Ma)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI r No(s)/Mail Date	•	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/533,344

Art Unit: 2833

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: species 1, Figs. 1, 2a-2b; species 2, Fig3; and species 3, Fig. 4. The species are independent or distinct because their structures are different.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,344 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hien D. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-2016. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

HV 4/27/06

HIEN VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

-Him Car